

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



The use of olanzapine as an antiemetic in palliative medicine: a systematic review of the literature

G. Saudemont¹, C. Prod'Homme^{2,3}, A. Da Silva⁴, S. Villet⁴, M. Reich⁴, N. Penel^{5,6} and V. Gamblin^{4*}

Abstract

Background: Olanzapine is an atypical antipsychotic that has affinity for many central nervous system receptors. Its efficacy is supported by several studies in the prevention and treatment of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. No recommendations exist on the antiemetic use of olanzapine in the palliative care setting. The aim of this work is to complete the initial work of Fonte et al. published in 2015, to determine whether the literature supports the use of olanzapine as an antiemetic in palliative situations and, in practice, to propose a therapeutic schema adapted to the palliative setting.

Methods: Systematic review of the literature according to the PRISMA criteria. We searched the PubMed, Cochrane, RefDoc, EMBase databases and the gray literature databases. The bibliographic search was conducted between November 2016 and August 2017.

Results: Thirteen articles were included: 2 case studies, 3 case series, 3 retrospective studies, 2 prospective studies, 2 literature reviews. All studies concluded on the efficacy of olanzapine as an antiemetic in the palliative care setting. No serious adverse effects were reported. Based on the data from the literature review, we propose a therapeutic scheme adapted to the palliative care context.

Conclusion: Action of olanzapine on many receptors and its tolerance profile make it an interesting antiemetic treatment in palliative medicine. But to date, studies are scarce and have a low statistical power. Further investigation is therefore needed to determine the benefit of this treatment in palliative care patients, compared to usual treatments.

Keywords: Palliative medicine, Antiemetic treatment, Atypical antipsychotic, Olanzapine

Background

Since the early 2000s, the antiemetic efficacy of olanzapine, a drug conventionally used as an antipsychotic, has been suggested [1]. Numerous studies and literature reviews have been conducted on its efficacy and safety profile for the prevention and treatment of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV) [2–4].

In three recent systematic reviews the most frequently reported adverse event was drowsiness, which was mostly well tolerated by patients [5–7]. No severe side effects were described.

Some studies concluded on a greater efficacy of olanzapine as a crisis medication for CINV despite standard prophylaxis, over standard crisis medication (metoclopramide - Primpéran®).

The Multinational Association for Supportive Care in Cancer (MASCC) and the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) recommend olanzapine for treating refractory CINV in addition to appropriate preventive

* Correspondence: v-gamblin@o-lambret.fr

⁴Palliative care unit, Oscar Lambret center, 3 rue Frédéric Combemale, F-59020 Lille, France

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

treatment. NCCN also recommends its use in combination with a selective antagonist of the 5HT₃ receptor and corticosteroids, or with corticosteroids alone, for the prevention of CINV [8, 9].

Our primary objective was to investigate whether these results could be generalized to the prevention and treatment of nausea and vomiting not induced by chemotherapy in a palliative care setting. We also aimed at supplementing and updating the non-systematic review published by Fonte et al. in 2015 [10], which focused mainly on the use of olanzapine in chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting.

First, we describe the characteristics of olanzapine, including its tolerance profile and receptor-binding ability, which is of interest in the palliative care setting. Secondly, the findings of a systematic literature review of olanzapine used in this indication are presented to provide an update on current knowledge. Finally, we propose a therapeutic schema adapted to the palliative setting.

Olanzapine, an atypical antipsychotic

Overview on atypical antipsychotics

The efficacy of antipsychotics drugs stems from their action on the dopaminergic system, which plays a role in the regulation of emotional life, motivation control, modulation of perceptions and organization of adaptive behaviors. According to their side effects, antipsychotics can be classified as first-generation, which are frequently associated with extrapyramidal effects, and second-generation, which are atypical and better tolerated [11].

Atypical antipsychotics (AAPs) are those meeting the following criteria [12]:

- have low or no risk of triggering extrapyramidal effects at doses at which an antipsychotic effect is achieved,
- do not increase prolactin levels, or do it minimally,
- significantly reduce the positive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia, and
- have mood stabilizer properties.

They also have an atypical binding profile to brain receptors. Atypical agents have a greater in vitro affinity for serotonergic 5HT₂ and dopaminergic D₂ receptors than first-generation antipsychotics [12]. The main representatives of second-generation antipsychotics are: olanzapine (Zyprexa®), clozapine (Lepo-nex®), risperidone (Risperdal®), quetiapine (Xeroquel®), sertindole, ziprasidone (Zeldox®), aripiprazole (Abilify®), paliperidone (Xeplion®), lurasidone (Latuda®), asenapine (Sycrest®).

Olanzapine

Pharmacology

- Pharmacodynamic properties

Olanzapine is a thienobenzodiazepine derivative and has a structure close to that of clozapine [11]. It binds to many types of dopaminergic (D₁, D₂, D₃, D₄, D₅) and serotonergic (5HT_{2A / 2C}, 5HT₃, 5HT₆ and 5HT₇) receptors, but its affinity for 5HT₂ receptors - in particular 5HT_{2A} - is higher than that for dopaminergic receptors. Olanzapine is also an antagonist of the muscarinic M₁, M₂, M₃, M₄, M₅ (contributing to reduce the risk of extrapyramidal effects), α1-adrenergic and histamine H1 receptors. Its affinity for α2-adrenergic, 5HT₁, GABA (Gamma-AminoButyric Acid), β-adrenergic and benzodiazepine receptors is lower. Olanzapine also has a low antagonistic effect on N-Methyl-D Aspartate (NMDA) receptors [13, 14].

- Indications, dosages

Olanzapine is an antipsychotic agent, an antimanic and mood stabilizer indicated in the management of manic episodes, schizophrenia, and bipolar disorder [15]. It has also been indicated for delirium especially in palliative care [16, 17]. The usual dose ranges between 2.5 and 30 mg once daily, but some studies report the use of the maximum dose of 60 mg per day depending on the symptomatology, the treatment response and the tolerance [15, 18].

- Pharmacokinetic properties

The bioavailability of oral olanzapine is 80 to 90%, and the peak serum concentration is reached approximately 6 h after administration. After intramuscular administration, olanzapine is rapidly absorbed and the time to peak serum concentration is less than 45 min. While a few studies have focused on the intravenous (IV) and subcutaneous (SC) routes, no pharmacokinetic data have been reported [19, 20]. The plasma protein binding rate is about 90%. The elimination half-life of olanzapine is approximately 30 h, ranging from 20 to 70 h [13, 15]. Plasma equilibrium is reached within 5 to 7 days. Hepatic first-pass effect is important, with 40% of the administered dose metabolized before it enters the systemic circulation [13]. Olanzapine is mainly metabolized in the liver by conjugation and oxidation and the main metabolites, 10-N-glucuronide and 42-N-desmethyl olanzapine, have no known pharmacological activity. The main route of olanzapine

elimination is the oxidative metabolism by CYP1A2, while CYP2D6 and CYP2D19 are minor pathways [12, 15]. The metabolites are then eliminated through urine (60%) and feces (30%) [13].

- The benefits of olanzapine as an antiemetic.

Antiemetic treatments act by blocking receptors that are specific to neurotransmitters involved in transmitting the emetic signal to the vomiting center. The main treatments can be classified according to the targeted receptors [21–23]:

- Prokinetics: these stimulate the motility of the upper digestive tract through several mechanisms of action:

- o By activating 5HT₄ serotonin receptors.
- o By blocking 5HT₃ serotonin receptors.
- o By activating motilin receptors.
- o By inhibiting the dopamine system.

- Dopamine antagonists: certain antipsychotic agents block dopamine D₂ receptors located in the CTZ. All of these, except for haloperidol, have a broad spectrum of activity and also act on histamine, muscarinic, serotonin and/or alpha adrenergic receptors.

- Serotonin antagonists (5HT₃): the 5HT₃ receptors are located on the vagus nerve which sends signals to the vomiting center, on the enterochromaffin cells of the digestive tract, in the nucleus of the solitary tract and in the CTZ.

- Anticholinergic antihistamines: the first histamine receptor antagonists, known as piperazines, block H₁ receptors in the vomiting center, in the vestibular nucleus and in the CTZ. Antimuscarinic activity also reduces mucous secretion.

- Anticholinergics: their ability to block muscarinic receptors relaxes the smooth muscles and reduces gastrointestinal secretion. They are particularly indicated in cases of malignant bowel obstruction.

- Neurokinin-1 receptor antagonists: their mechanism of action is based on their ability to inhibit the binding of substance P to the NK₁ receptors in the digestive tract and in the vomiting center of the brain. The inhibitory action of olanzapine, in particular on the serotonin receptors 5HT₂ and 5HT₃ and the dopamine receptor D₂, explains its antiemetic activity.

The inhibitory action of olanzapine, in particular on the serotonin receptors 5HT₂ and 5HT₃ and the dopamine receptor D₂, explains its antiemetic activity. To a lesser extent, olanzapine is also a histamine and muscarinic receptor antagonist [13]. However, the mechanisms involved are not fully understood. The benefit of olanzapine, the only atypical antipsychotic to have antiemetic properties, is that it acts on a large number of receptors, while nausea and vomiting

in a palliative situation are very often caused by multiple factors and may require the combination of several treatments, which increases the risk of drug interactions.

Literature review

Methods

We conducted this systematic review in accordance with the international PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis) guidelines [24].

We aimed at identifying studies addressing these research questions:

- 1/ Does olanzapine have a role as an antiemetic in the palliative care setting?
- 2/ Is it possible to propose a therapeutic schema in the palliative care setting?

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

We included articles meeting the following criteria: all study types, publication date not specified, written in English or French, studies carried out in adult patients in the palliative care setting and studies about the use of olanzapine as an antiemetic.

Studies on CINV, olanzapine used for other indications, including psychiatric and articles not available full length online were excluded from the review.

Bibliographic search

We searched PubMed, Cochrane, RefDoc, ScienceDirect and EMBase databases and OpenGrey, Gray Literature Report and VigiPallia sites, which list the gray literature. We also consulted the site of the *French Society for Palliative Care (SFAP)*, *national and international health agencies (HAS, WHO)* and of the *French National Agency for Medicines and Health Products Safety (ANSM)*. The bibliographical references of the selected articles were examined to complete the search.

The following MeSH terms [25] were used in the search: *olanzapine, nausea, vomiting, antiemetic, palliative care, end of life, nausea, vomiting, emesis, antiemetic, palliative care, end of life*. The search algorithms for each database are presented in Supplementary Table 1.

The bibliographic search was conducted between November 2016 and August 2017.

Selection of articles, collection and data analysis

We selected articles eligible for the review in three successive steps: review of the title, review of the abstract, and review of the full text. After reading and analyzing the selected articles, we extracted the following information: title, author(s), review, year of

publication, country, methodology, study population; dosage used, main results.

other reference was identified by examining selected articles.

Results

1. Selection of articles

Results of searches in databases

One researcher (G.S.) obtained 943 articles by querying the different databases and one additional article was included after reviewing the grey literature. No

Article selection procedure

Figure 1 presents the PRISMA flow chart with the selection procedure and the reasons for exclusion. We did not include EMBase search results because, out of the 123 research findings, only one involved the use of olanzapine as an antiemetic and the article was unavailable. In total, 13 articles were selected. The contents of the articles are summarised in Tables 1 and 2.




Fig. 1 Flow diagram for the article selection procedure

Table 1 Main results of the systematic literature review

Article	Type of study	Population	Results
Licup and Baumrucker, 2010 [26]	Literature review	Articles on the use of olanzapine as an antiemetic N = 4	2 articles on the use for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting 2 articles on olanzapine in a palliative care setting Effective treatment No side effect reported
Fonte et al, 2015 [10]	Literature review	Article on the use of olanzapine as an antiemetic N = 22	15 articles on the use of olanzapine for the treatment of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting 7 articles on olanzapine in a palliative care setting Effective treatment No significant side effects, except sleepiness reported in some studies
Felton et al, 2016 [27]	Literature review	Articles on the use of olanzapine in a palliative care population N = 12	2 articles on olanzapine for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting 2 articles on olanzapine in a palliative care setting The other articles are on other indications Effective treatment No side effect reported
Passik et al, 2002 [28]	Prospective single centre study	Hospitalized patients with advanced cancer and refractory nausea and vomiting unrelated to radiotherapy or chemotherapy N = 15	In terms of nausea: dose-response efficacy (from 2.5 to 10 mg/day) In terms of quality of life: overall improvement only in patients treated at 5 mg/day No significant difference in side effects between patients without treatment and patients treated with different dosages
Mackintosh, 2016 [29]	Prospective single centre study	Hospitalized cancer patients with refractory nausea and vomiting N = 16	2 patients excluded due to treatment for less than 48 h Subjective evaluation: 13 patients (92%) reported improvement of symptoms 1 patient (7%) decided to stop treatment for excessive drowsiness
Kaneishi et al, 2012 [30]	Retrospective study	Patients hospitalized in the palliative care unit and treated with olanzapine for nausea and vomiting related to malignant bowel obstruction N = 20	Significant decrease in nausea score and frequency of vomiting after olanzapine treatment 18 patients (90%) reported a subjective improvement of nausea 2 patients (10%) reported excessive drowsiness 1 patient (5%) reported vertigo No decision to stop treatment despite symptoms
Atkinson, 2014 [31]	Retrospective study	Hospitalized cancer patients with refractory nausea and vomiting N = 4	Effective treatment Reduced use of rescue medication Reduced treatment cost No side effects reported

Table 1 Main results of the systematic literature review (Continued)

Article	Type of study	Population	Results
Kaneishi et al, 2016 [32]	Retrospective multicentre study	Patients with advanced cancer hospitalized in the palliative care unit and treated with olanzapine for nausea and vomiting N = 108	Doses ranged from 2.5 to 10 mg/day Average duration of treatment: 22 days (from 2 to 211 days) No efficacy or safety data reported.
Jackson and Tavernier, 2003 [33]	Case series	Hospitalized patients with cancer and neurological conditions and refractory nausea and vomiting N = 6	Effective treatment No side effects reported
Srivastava et al, 2003 [34]	Case series	Hospitalized cancer patients with refractory nausea and vomiting N = 2	Effective treatment No side effects reported
Atreya and Datta, 2016 [35]	Case series	Patients with advanced cancer hospitalized in palliative care unit with refractory nausea and vomiting N = 3	Effective treatment No side effects reported
Suzuki et al, 2014 [36]	Case study	1 patient with refractory nausea and vomiting after brain metastases from colorectal cancer	Effective treatment No side effects reported
Langley-Degroot et al, 2015 [37]	Case study	1 patient with refractory nausea and vomiting after dyskeratosis congenita	Effective treatment No side effects reported

Content of articles

Discussion

The place of Olanzapine as an antiemetic in palliative medicine

Efficacy

Each of the 13 articles included in this systematic review of the literature concluded that olanzapine is effective for the treatment of nausea and vomiting in patients with palliative disease, regardless of symptom aetiology. However, the differences in study methodologies and in the methods for evaluating symptoms prevent a rigorous comparison.

Applicability

In addition to its efficacy, several features make olanzapine an interesting treatment option in the palliative care setting.

- Pharmacokinetics

Olanzapine can be administered as a single, daily dose because of its long half-life that allows to cover a 24-hour period. This may facilitate patient compliance and reduce the risk of forgetfulness. In addition, it appears that, despite treatment for nausea and vomiting, rescue medications can be considered [6].

- Galenic forms

Olanzapine exists in several galenic forms, allowing flexibility in administration. The orodispersible tablet

formulation is particularly suitable for patients experiencing nausea or vomiting, and represents a safer and more flexible option than haloperidol in the out-patient setting. In fact, oral forms of haloperidol [38] include tablets at doses of 1 or 5 mg, while the usual antiemetic dose starts at 0.5 mg. The oral solution is dosed at 0.1 mg per drop, but drop count is a source of error.

In the case of the treatment of symptomatic intestinal obstruction on non-resectable peritoneal carcinomatosis, scientific and medical societies recommend using haloperidol as first-line antiemetic treatment [39]. Oral intake in this context can be a source of discomfort for the patient, and the absorption of the drug is uncertain. In addition, in 2011, the National Agency for Drug Safety advised against the use of IV haloperidol due to cardiac risks [40, 41]. The Marketing Authorization (MA) for the injectable form of haloperidol relates exclusively to the intramuscular (IM) route, regardless of the indication.

Olanzapine exists as injectable form and its MA only applies to IM use. Studies on the IV or SC use for other indications, however, report a comparable efficacy and no significant side effects [19, 20].

In addition, pharmacological studies suggest a part of transmucosal absorption with orodispersible tablets, even if the proportion and its impact on the overall bioavailability are unknown. Olanzapine is detectable earlier in the plasma of patients treated with orodispersible tablets than of those treated with standard tablets. This could prove to be an asset in case of occlusive syndrome [42–44]. This hypothesis is

Table 2 Summary of the dosages used and Previously Used

	Article	Dosage	Antiemetic treatments previously used
1	Licup and Baumrucker, 2010 [26]	- See lines 4 and 10 - 2 other articles cited but no data	No data
2	Fonte et al, 2015 [10]	- See lines 4–6–7–9–10–12 - 1 other article cited but no data	No data
3	Felton et al, 2016 [27]	- See lines 4 and 6 - 2 other articles cited but no data	No data
4	Passik et al, 2002 [28]	3 dose groups: - 2.5 mg - 5 mg - 10 mg	No data
5	Mackintosh, 2016 [29]	5 mg/day	Haloperidol, promethazine, cyclizine, metoclopramide, ondansetron, levomepromazine, domperidone, prochlorperazine, quetiapine
6	Kaneishi et al, 2012 [30]	2.5 to 7.5 mg/day	No data
7	Atkinson, 2014 [31]	No data	No data
8	Kaneishi et al, 2016 [32]	2.5 mg to 10 mg/day	No data
9	Jackson and Tavernier, 2003 [33]	Starting dose 2.5 mg/day Increment of 2.5 mg Maximum dose of 7.5 mg/day	Patient 1: haloperidol (effective but poor tolerance) Patient 2: promethazine, lorazepam Patient 3: No data Patient 4: dexamethasone, prochlorperazine, promethazine, haloperidol, lorazepam Patient 5: haloperidol, lorazepam Patient 6: No data
10	Srivastava et al, 2003 [34]	Starting dose 2.5 mg/day Increment of 5 mg/day Interdose of 5 mg possible	Patient 1: prochlorperazine, metoclopramide, dexamethasone, promethazine, doxepin, scopolamine, meclizine, haloperidol Patient 2: granisetron, lorazepam, metoclopramide, dexamethasone, haloperidol
11	Atreya and Datta, 2016 [35]	Starting dose 2.5 mg/day Increase up to 7.5 mg/day	Patient 1: dexamethasone, ondansetron, metoclopramide (stopped at introduction of olanzapine) Patient 2: metoclopramide, haloperidol Patient 3: dexamethasone, ondansetron, scopolamine butylbromide
12	Suzuki et al, 2014 [36]	Starting dose 1.25 mg/day	Metoclopramide, granisetron
13	Langley-Degroot et al, 2015 [37]	Starting dose 5 mg/day Increment of 10 mg/day	Initially metoclopramide, lorazepam, ondansetron, domperidone, cannabinoids

supported by the results of the 2012 study by Kaneishi et al. [30], which involved patients with nausea associated with malignant bowel obstruction. One study also investigated a form of reconstituted olanzapine as suppositories [45], which would provide an additional usable route of administration.

- Tolerance profile

AAPs present a lower risk of adverse effects than first generation antipsychotics. Various explanations have been advanced, including the 5HT_{2A}/D₂ binding affinity ratio. The 5HT_{2A}/D₂ ratio is higher for AAPs than for first-generation antipsychotics [46], which explains the lower risk of extrapyramidal syndrome [47]. In addition, olanzapine is predominantly metabolised via cytochrome P1A2, and not via the other CYP450 isoenzymes [10],

which also limits the risk of drug interaction. Molecules such as carbamazepine (Tegretol®) or fluvoxamine (Floxyfral®) may, however, interact with CYP1A2. Similarly, enzyme inducer tobacco, can also modify the metabolism of olanzapine by interacting with cytochromes [11, 47, 48].

Finally, the antiemetic dose used, generally ranging from 2.5 to 10 mg/day, is lower than that used in psychiatry, which also explains the lower risk of adverse effects [10].

Adverse effects/side effects

The treatment of CINV involves low doses of olanzapine over shorter periods of time, leading to few side effects. Most commonly reported event is drowsiness [29, 30]. However, this symptom remains difficult to assess in the palliative care context, where the causes can be multiple, mostly iatrogenic and disease progression. No other side effects of olanzapine have been reported in the studies included in this review of the literature.

In the psychiatric setting, olanzapine is used at higher doses and over longer periods of time. The extrapyramidal syndrome, consisting of acute dystonia, akathisia, parkinsonism and tardive dyskinesia [49], can occur at doses over 20 mg/day. However, the risk of developing a Parkinsonian syndrome or akathisia is, respectively, three times and twice lower than that with haloperidol at usual doses [47]. Long-term use of olanzapine can lead to metabolic side effects such as increased appetite and weight gain, which may be beneficial in the palliative care setting [50]. Lipid and glycaemic imbalances can also be observed during long-term treatment, which is why olanzapine is contraindicated in cases of diabetes in some countries [51]. There is a risk of QT prolongation or of cardiac rhythm disturbances, but these effects are rare [17, 52].

There is also a risk of decreasing the epileptogenic threshold and the neuroleptic malignant syndrome, but these are less common than with first-generation antipsychotics [10, 47].

Other side effects have been reported in this setting, of varying frequency and intensity, such as headache, drowsiness, restlessness, insomnia, dry mouth, constipation, orthostatic hypotension [47, 52]. Finally, an asymptomatic elevation of transaminases (up to 3 times the normal level) has been reported in 2% of patients [47, 52].

Contraindications to olanzapine are hypersensitivity to the active substance or to any of the excipients, and patients at risk of acute angle-closure glaucoma (AACG) [17]. In a statement of March 9, 2004, the ANSM (French National Agency for Medicines) also recommended the utmost caution and advised against the use of olanzapine in elderly patients with dementia due to a threefold higher incidence of stroke [53].

Bias of the study

Intrinsic bias

The scarcity of published articles on the use of antiemetic olanzapine in the palliative care setting is an obvious bias of this systematic review of the literature. In addition, the disparities in the methodology of the articles and the heterogeneity of outcomes used do not allow a rigorous analysis. Publication bias must also be considered, which may distort the effects of olanzapine. It is in order to limit this risk of bias that the gray literature has also been considered [54].

Bias of the analysed studies

Most of the articles included in this review have low statistical power. Case studies and series include, by definition, low numbers of patients and present a selection bias. In the studies included in this review, symptoms were not objectively and reproducibly evaluated, with investigators measuring treatment efficacy based on patient-reported relief and clinical examination.

Retrospective studies also have a selection bias by definition. Two of the included studies concerned a small number of patients and, the third, a 2016 study by Kaneishi et al [32], included a larger number of patients but did not mention the efficacy or tolerance of the treatment.

The evaluation of treatment efficacy among studies is not standardised. In the 2012 study by Kaneishi et al [30], the outcome was the degree of severity of symptoms on a scale, while in Atkinson's 2014 study [31], the evaluation of symptom improvement was subjective and the secondary outcomes were the use of treatment in situations of acute crisis and the daily cost of treatment.

The two prospective studies included in this review included few patients and neither were randomised nor did they include a control group. The evaluation of treatment efficacy in MacKintosh et al's study was done using subjective criteria [29]. The study by Pasiik et al [28] provided a more complete assessment of symptoms with subjective assessment and objective evaluation using quality of life scales. The three reviews of the literature included are not systematic and their methodology was non-reproducible. Finally, only one study included an assessment of the quality of life of patients [28].

In practice

Based on the study of pharmacology and the review of recent literature, despite a very low level of evidence, we suggest some approaches to use olanzapine in a palliative care setting for the treatment of nausea and vomiting refractory to other antiemetics [55] according to the scheme proposed below. This recommended treatment

scheme is empirical and not based on prospective clinical trials.

Indications:

-the treatment of nausea and/or vomiting refractory to two previous lines of antiemetics, whatever the aetiology.

-in patients with the ability to take oral therapy.

Associated treatments:

Olanzapine may be combined with non neuroleptic antiemetic treatments.

For patients already treated with neuroleptics for nausea/vomiting, we recommend replacing this treatment with olanzapine, and not combining the two, to avoid side effects.

Contraindications:

Contraindications include those reported by VIDAL, ie hypersensitivity to the active substance or patients at risk of AACG (acute angle-closure glaucoma). In patients with a high risk of stroke or seizure, the risk/benefit ratio should be carefully evaluated.

Starting dose and galenic formulation:

We suggest a starting dose of 5 mg per day, preferably in the evening because of the risk of drowsiness, or at 2.5 mg per day in frail elderly patients.

Interdoses of 2.5 mg are possible once a day.

Dose adaptation:

In case of insufficient efficacy and good treatment tolerance, we propose to increase the dose in increments of 2.5 mg up to 10 mg per day.

Surveillance, side effects:

The surveillance will be clinical with an evaluation of the induced drowsiness, search for vertigo, particularly in patients who are still able to stand, and monitoring of neurological examination to detect an extrapyramidal syndrome.

Conclusion

Olanzapine is an atypical antipsychotic that has antiemetic activity due to its affinity for many central nervous system receptors, particularly to the chemoreceptor trigger zone and to the vomiting center. Its antiemetic use in the prevention and treatment of CINV is recommended as second or even first-line treatment in the oncology setting by MASCC and NCCN.

The published studies on the use of olanzapine as antiemetic treatment in the palliative setting reveal an efficiency and a good tolerance of this treatment, which is also available in several galenic forms adapted to several modes of administration, in particular the orodispersible form. We propose the use of olanzapine in the palliative setting in the event of nausea or vomiting refractory to the usual antiemetic treatments at a dose of 5 mg per day with possible 2.5 mg interdoses.

Contraindications to its use are hypersensitivity to the active substance and the risk of AACG, and patients at high risk of stroke or seizure.

Two recent studies published in 2019, larger ($n = 30$ and $n = 40$) than those listed in our review of the literature, confirmed the safety and efficacy of olanzapine as an antiemetic for patients with late-stage cancer not receiving chemotherapy or radiotherapy [56, 57]. However, only Navari's research was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. To date, studies are scarce and have a low statistical power and therefore more prospective randomized controlled trials are needed to determine the benefit of this treatment in palliative care patients, compared to placebo or usual treatments as prokinetics, 5-HT₃ antagonists or NK1 antagonists. Due to these patients' frailty, it would also be wise for these studies to use the lowest possible starting dose (2.5–5 mg per day). The quality of life of patients should also be considered to evaluate and recommend their use as first-line treatment in a palliative care setting.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12904-020-00559-4>.

Additional file 1: Supplementary Table 1. Search algorithms used for each database.

Abbreviations

CINV: Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting; MASCC: Multinational association for supportive care in cancer; NCCN: National comprehensive cancer network; AAPs: Atypical antipsychotics; GABA: Gamma-amino butyric acid; NMDA: N-methyl-D aspartate; IV: Intravenous; SC: Subcutaneous; PRISMA: Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis; MA: Marketing authorization; IM: Intramuscular; AACG: Acute angle-closure glaucoma; ANSM: French national agency for medicines; CNIL: Commission nationale informatique et liberté

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

GS carried out the review of the literature. VG supervised the project. GS and VG wrote the article. ADS, NP, CPH, MR, and SV contributed to the final manuscript. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Funding

The study did not receive funding from a commercial organization.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Data collected from the medical prescription software are already declared to the French national commission for the use of computer data (Commission Nationale Informatique et Liberté, CNIL) by the Oscar Lambert center, so there was no need for additional authorization about ethics approval and consent.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Territorial Hub Pain Accompaniment Palliative care, Hospital center Antibes Juan-les-Pins, 107 avenue de Nice, F-06606 Antibes, France. ²University Lille, CNRS, CHU Lille, Palliative care unit, F-59000 Lille, France. ³ETHICS (Experiment, Transhumanism, Human Interactions, Care and Society) – EA 7446, Lille Catholic University, Lille, France. ⁴Palliative care unit, Oscar Lambret center, 3 rue Frédéric Combemale, F-59020 Lille, France. ⁵Direction of Research and Innovation, Oscar Lambret center, 3 rue Frédéric Combemale, F-59020 Lille, France. ⁶Lille University Hospital and Medical School, F-59045 Lille, France.

Received: 22 November 2019 Accepted: 6 April 2020

Published online: 22 April 2020

References

- Pirl W, Roth A. Remission of chemotherapy-induced emesis with concurrent olanzapine treatment - a case report. *Psychooncology*. 2000;9:84–7.
- Andrews PLR, Sanger GJ. Nausea and the quest for the perfect anti-emetic. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2014;722:108–21.
- Chow R, Chiu L, Navari R, Passik S, Chiu N, Popovic M, et al. Efficacy and safety of olanzapine for the prophylaxis of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV) as reported in phase I and II studies: a systematic review. *Support Care Cancer*. 2016;24:1001–8.
- Chiu L, Chow R, Popovic M, Navari RM, Shumway NM, Chiu N, et al. Efficacy of olanzapine for the prophylaxis and rescue of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Support Care Cancer*. 2016;24:2381–92.
- Navari RM, Nagy CK, Gray SE. The use of olanzapine versus metoclopramide for the treatment of breakthrough chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in patients receiving highly emetogenic chemotherapy. *Support Care Cancer*. 2013;21:1655–63.
- Hocking CM, Kichenadasse G. Olanzapine for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: a systematic review. *Support Care Cancer*. 2014;22:1143–51.
- Yoojee J, Permsuwan U, Nimworapan M. Efficacy and safety of olanzapine for the prevention of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2017;112:113–25.
- DeRemer DL, Clemmons AB, Orr J, Clark SM, Gandhi AS. Emerging Role of Olanzapine for Prevention and Treatment of Chemotherapy-Induced Nausea and Vomiting. *Pharmacother*. 2016;36:218–29.
- Jordan K, Jahn F, Aapro M. Recent developments in the prevention of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV): a comprehensive review. *Ann Oncol*. 2015;26:1081–90.
- Fonte C, Fatigoni S, Roila F. A review of olanzapine as an antiemetic in chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting and in palliative care patients. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2015;95:214–21.
- Franck N, Fromager F, Thibaut F. Pharmacologie et mode d'action des antipsychotiques. *EMC – Psychiatr*. 2015;12:1–17.
- Markowitz JS, Brown CS, Moore TR. Atypical antipsychotics part I: pharmacology, pharmacokinetics, and efficacy. *Ann Pharmacother*. 1999;33:73–85.
- Prommer E. Olanzapine: Palliative Medicine Update. *Am J Hosp Palliat Med*. 2013;30:75–82.
- Bymaster F, Calligaro D, Falcone J, Marsh R, Moore N, Tye N, et al. Radioreceptor binding profile of the atypical antipsychotic olanzapine. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 1996;14:87–96.
- Passik SD, Cooper M. Complicated delirium in a cancer patient successfully treated with olanzapine. *J Pain Symptom Manag*. 1999;17:219–23.
- Boettger S, Jenewein J, Breitbart W. Haloperidol, risperidone, olanzapine and aripiprazole in the management of delirium: A comparison of efficacy, safety, and side effects. *Palliat Support Care*. 2015;13:1079–85.
- VIDAL Olanzapine. 2013. <https://www.vidal.fr/substances/17677/olanzapine>. Accessed 11 sept 2017.
- Lehman A, Lieberman J, Dixon L, MacGlashan T, Miller A, Perkins D, et al. Practice guidelines for treatment of patients with schizophrenia - Second edition. *Am J Psychiatr*. 2004;161:1–56.
- Martel ML, Klein LR, Rivard RL, Cole JB. A Large Retrospective Cohort of Patients Receiving Intravenous Olanzapine in the Emergency Department. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2016;23:29–35.
- Elsayem A, Bush SH, Munsell MF, Curry E, Calderon BB, Paraskevopoulos T, et al. Subcutaneous Olanzapine for Hyperactive or Mixed Delirium in Patients with Advanced Cancer: A Preliminary Study. *J Pain Symptom Manag*. 2010;40:774–82.
- Nagwa AEI. Nausea and Vomiting in Cancer Patients: Topic Review. *J Palliat Care Med*. 2015;5:1.
- Navari RM. Nausea and Vomiting in Advanced Cancer. *Curr Treat Options in Oncol*. 2020;21:14.
- Wickham RJ. Nausea and Vomiting: a Palliative Care Imperative. *Curr Oncol Rep*. 2020;22:1.
- Gedda M. Traduction française des lignes directrices PRISMA pour l'écriture et la lecture des revues systématiques et des méta-analyses. *Kinésithérapie Rev*. 2015;15:39–44.
- INSERM. Le MeSH Bilingue. 2017. <http://mesh.inserm.fr/FrenchMesh>. Accessed 9 Sept 2017.
- Licup N, Baumrucker S. Olanzapine for Nausea and Vomiting. *Am J Hosp Palliat Med*. 2010;27:432–4.
- Felton M, Weinberg R, Pruskowski J. Olanzapine for Nausea, Delirium, Anxiety, Insomnia, and Cachexia #315. *J Palliat Med*. 2016;19:1224–5.
- Passik SD, Lundberg J, Kirsh KL, Theobald D, Donaghy K, Holtsclaw E, et al. A pilot exploration of the antiemetic activity of olanzapine for the relief of nausea in patients with advanced cancer and pain. *J Pain Symptom Manag*. 2002;23:526–32.
- MacKintosh D. Olanzapine in the Management of Difficult to Control Nausea and Vomiting in a Palliative Care Population: A Case Series. *J Palliat Med*. 2016;19:87–90.
- Kaneishi K, Kawabata M, Morita T. Olanzapine for the Relief of Nausea in Patients With Advanced Cancer and Incomplete Bowel Obstruction. *J Pain Symptom Manag*. 2012;44:604–7.
- Atkinson SR. Olanzapine for intractable nausea and vomiting in palliative care patients not receiving chemotherapy. *J Palliat Med*. 2014;17:503–4.
- Kaneishi K, Nishimura K, Sakurai N, Imai K, Matsuo N, Takahashi N, et al. Use of olanzapine for the relief of nausea and vomiting in patients with advanced cancer: a multicenter survey in Japan. *Support Care Cancer*. 2016;24:2393–5.
- Jackson WC, Tavernier L. Olanzapine for intractable nausea in palliative care patients. *J Palliat Med*. 2003;6:251–5.
- Srivastava M, Brito-Dellan N, Davis MP, Leach M, Lagman R. Olanzapine as an Antiemetic in Refractory Nausea and Vomiting in Advanced Cancer. *J Pain Symptom Manag*. 2003;25:578–82.
- Atreya S, Datta SS. Olanzapine for refractory nausea and vomiting in patients with advanced malignancy. *Eur J Palliat Care*. 2016;23:214–8.
- Suzuki M, Komuro K, Ohara K. Olanzapine and Betamethasone Are Effective for the Treatment of Nausea and Vomiting due to Metastatic Brain Tumors of Rectal Cancer. *Case Rep Gastroenterol*. 2014;8:13–7.
- Langley-DeGroot M, Ma JD, Hirst J, Roeland EJ. Olanzapine in the Treatment of Refractory Nausea and Vomiting: A Case Report and Review of the Literature. *J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother*. 2015;29:148–52.
- VIDAL Haloperidol. 2013. <https://www.vidal.fr/substances/1726/haloperidol>. Accessed 11 sept 2017.
- Laval G, Marcelin-Benazech B, Arvieux C, Chauvenet L, Copel L, Durand A, et al. Traitement symptomatique de l'occlusion intestinale sur carcinose péritonéale : Recommandations de bonnes pratiques cliniques. *Med Palliat*. 2012;11:5–24.
- Cochois I. HALDOL 5 mg/ml solution injectable (halopéridol) : suppression de la voie d'administration intraveineuse. VIDAL. 2011. https://www.vidal.fr/actualites/11688/haldol_5_mg_ml_solution_injectable_haloperidol_suppression_de_la_voie_d_administration_intraveineuse. Accessed 27 Aug 2017.
- ANSM (Agence nationale de sécurité du médicament et des produits de santé). Suppression de la voie d'administration par Intra-Veineuse de Haldol® 5 mg/ml, solution injectable en ampoule (halopéridol) - Lettre aux professionnels de santé (16/02/2011). <https://ansm.sante.fr/s-informer/Informations-de-securite-Lettres-aux-professionnels-de-sante/Haldol-R-5-mg-ml-solution-injectable-en-ampoule-haloperidol-Laboratoire-Janssen-Cilag-Suppression-de-la-voie-d-administration-par-Intra-Veineuse>. Accessed 16 Apr 2020.
- Bergstrom R. Rapid Onset of Absorption with Olanzapine Orally Disintegrating Tablets. *J Emerg Nurs*. 2004;30:416–7.
- Markowitz JS, DeVane CL, Malcolm RJ, Gefroh HA, Wang J-S, Zhu H-J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of Olanzapine After Single-Dose Oral Administration of Standard Tablet Versus Normal and Sublingual Administration of an Orally Disintegrating Tablet in Normal Volunteers. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2006;46:164–71.

44. Montgomery B, Treuer, Karagianis, Ascher-Svanum H, Harrison. Orally disintegrating olanzapine review: effectiveness, patient preference, adherence, and other properties. *Patient Prefer Adherence*. 2012;6:109–25.
45. Matsumoto K, Kimura S, Takahashi K, Yokoyama Y, Miyazawa M, Kushibiki S, et al. Pharmaceutical studies on and clinical application of olanzapine suppositories prepared as a hospital preparation. *J Pharm Health Care Sci*. 2016;2:20.
46. Roth BL, Tandra S, Burgess LH, Sibley DR, Meltzer HY. D4 dopamine receptor binding affinity does not distinguish between typical and atypical antipsychotic drugs. *Psychopharmacology*. 1995;120:365–8.
47. Davis MP, Dickerson ED. Olanzapine: another psychotropic? *Am J Hosp Palliat Med*. 2001;18:129–32.
48. Kroon LA. Drug interactions with smoking. *Am J Health Syst Pharm*. 2007;64:1917–21.
49. Beauverd M, Pautex S, Pereira J. Place des neuroleptiques atypiques dans la prise en charge du delirium et des nausées chez le patient palliatif. *InfoKara*. 2007;22:95–103.
50. Kast RE, Foley KF. Cancer chemotherapy and cachexia: mirtazapine and olanzapine are 5-HT3 antagonists with good anti-nausea effects. *Eur J Cancer Care*. 2007;16:351–4.
51. Abe M, Kasamatsu Y, Kado N, Kuji S, Tanaka A, Takahashi N, et al. Efficacy of Olanzapine Combined Therapy for Patients Receiving Highly Emetogenic Chemotherapy Resistant to Standard Antiemetic Therapy. *Biomed Res Int*. 2015;2015:1–6.
52. Brown CS, Markowitz JS, Moore TR, Parker NG. Atypical antipsychotics: part II adverse effects, drug interactions, and costs. *Ann Pharmacother*. 1999;33:210–7.
53. ANSM. Risque de mortalité et d'accidents vasculaires cérébraux chez les patients âgés atteints de démence traités par olanzapine (Zyprexa®, Zyprexa Velotab®) pour des troubles psychotiques et/ou troubles du comportement. 2004. <https://www.ansm.sante.fr/S-informer/Informations-de-securite-Lettres-aux-professionnels-de-sante/Risque-de-mortalite-et-d-accidents-vasculaires-cerebraux-chez-les-patients-ages-atteints-de-demence-traites-par-olanzapine-Zyprexa-R-Zyprexa-Velotab-R-pour-des-troubles-psychotiques-et-ou-troubles-du-comportement>. Accessed 3 Aug 2018.
54. Zaugg V, Savoldelli V, Sabatier B, Durieux P. Améliorer les pratiques et l'organisation des soins: méthodologie des revues systématiques. *Santé Publique*. 2014;26:655–67.
55. Digges M, Hussein A, Wilcock A, Crawford GB, Boland JW, Agar MR, Sinnarajah A, Currow DC, Johnson MJ. Pharmacovigilance in Hospice/Palliative Care: Net Effect of Haloperidol for Nausea or Vomiting. *J Palliat Med*. 2018;21:37–43.
56. Navari RM. A placebo controlled, randomized, double-blinded trial of olanzapine for the treatment of chronic nausea and/or vomiting, unrelated to chemotherapy/radiation. *JCO* 2019;37(31_suppl):118.
57. Harder S, et al. The nature of nausea: prevalence, etiology, and treatment in patients with advanced cancer not receiving antineoplastic treatment. *Support Care Cancer*. 2019;8:3071–80.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

